

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,522		12/28/1999	KENNETH A. PARULSKI	78744PRC 1080 EXAMINER	
1333	7590	03/21/2006			
BETH R	22		GYORFI, THOMAS A		
	LEGAL ST N KODAK	COMPANY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TE STREET		2135		
ROCHES	TER, NY	14650-2201		DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/473,522	PARULSKI ET AL.			
Notice of Abandoni	nent	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tom Gyorfi	2135			
The MAILING DATE of this	communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>04 October 2005</u>. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on 						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. 🛭 The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet						
			·			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)	Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20060317			

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Examiner spoke with Applicant's representative Pamela Crocker on March 17, 2006, in which she confirmed that Applicant, rather than respond directly in this case, instead filed a continuation of the instant application (Serial Number 11/253,854). Applicant's representative indicated that, given the extensive prosecution history of the instant application, Applicant would proceed to file a petition indicating unintentional abandonment of this case in lieu of resparting prosecution with a new case..

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100